

Literature Review of the Telemedicine & Knowledge Security Topic

Mohammed Ahmed Alhebbi^{1,*}, Ibrahiem M. M. El Emary²

^{1,2}*Information Science Department – King Abdulaziz University Saudi Arabia*

(Received: October 10, 2025; Revised: November 28, 2025; Accepted: January 15, 2026; Available online: April 25, 2026)

Abstract

Telemedicine has fundamentally transformed healthcare delivery by enabling remote consultations, diagnoses, and follow-ups, thereby enhancing accessibility and efficiency. Nevertheless, this transformation poses critical challenges related to data security, confidentiality, and the protection of knowledge exchange. This paper seeks to review the literature on knowledge security and its relationship with telemedicine within healthcare organizations. The paper summarizes trends of knowledge security and telemedicine, underscores their interconnections, and traces their evolution over time. It also reviewed the historical development of both concepts, examined them jointly and separately, and addressed their associated notions. Moreover, the study reviewed conferences and scientific societies that engaged with the topic, as well as research interests in the topic and their progression within contemporary intellectual production. Finally, a digital indicator was employed to trace the terminology related to the topic. The study used a descriptive–analytical method, which involved consulting the literature including research papers, articles, and books and subjecting it to both descriptive and analytical examination. This approach was applied to review the key terminology relevant to the topic and to extract related findings and implications. The study concluded from the literature that research interests have addressed knowledge security and information security on the one hand, and telemedicine on the other; however, these domains diverged in their approaches and variables. Furthermore, to the best of the researcher’s knowledge, this literature represents the first contribution in Arabic to link knowledge security with telemedicine explicitly. The researcher intends to expand on this connection in future work to make a distinctive contribution to intellectual production in this field.

Keywords: Telemedicine (Telehealth), Knowledge Security

1. Introduction

Knowledge management (KM) has emerged as a critical organizational approach in contemporary institutions, particularly in knowledge-based economies where information and intellectual capital represent key sources of competitive advantage [1], [2]. Organizations increasingly rely on systematic processes for creating, storing, sharing, and applying knowledge to enhance efficiency, support innovation, and improve decision-making [3]. In this context, KM is no longer viewed as a supportive function but as a strategic driver that directly influences institutional performance and sustainability [4].

Institutional performance, especially within higher education institutions, has become a central concern due to growing demands for quality, accountability, and competitiveness [5]. Universities are required not only to deliver high-quality educational services but also to maintain effective administrative and communication systems that support their missions and strategic objectives. Improving institutional performance therefore depends on the ability to leverage knowledge resources effectively, optimize organizational processes, and foster continuous learning among employees [6].

Institutional communication centers play a vital role in this framework, as they act as the primary interface between the organization and its internal and external stakeholders [7]. These centers are responsible for managing information flow, disseminating knowledge, and ensuring consistent and effective communication. As such, integrating KM practices within communication centers can enhance their capacity to manage information strategically, improve responsiveness, and strengthen stakeholder engagement [8]. At the same time, rapid digital transformation has significantly reshaped how knowledge is created and shared within organizations. The widespread use of digital

*Corresponding author: Mohammed Ahmed Alhebbi (alhebbi@yahoo.com)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47738/ijaim.v6i1.119>

This is an open access article under the CC-BY license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

© Authors retain all copyrights

platforms and social media has enabled faster dissemination of information, greater collaboration, and more dynamic interaction among stakeholders [9]. These developments have introduced new opportunities for enhancing KM practices, particularly in communication environments, where real-time information exchange and audience engagement are essential [10].

Despite the growing body of literature on KM and institutional performance, limited attention has been given to their integration within institutional communication centers, particularly in the context of higher education institutions in the Arab region [11]. This gap highlights the need for a focused analysis that examines how KM practices can be leveraged to improve the performance of communication units and enhance their strategic role within organizations.

Accordingly, this study aims to review and analyze existing literature on knowledge management and institutional performance, with a specific focus on their application in institutional communication centers. It seeks to identify key trends, examine the impact of KM practices on performance outcomes, and explore the role of digital transformation in supporting knowledge-based communication processes [12]. Furthermore, the study provides insights into how KM can be utilized to strengthen the performance of the Institutional Communication Center at King Abdulaziz University, thereby contributing to the broader understanding of KM implementation in organizational communication contexts.

2. Literature Review

Knowledge management (KM) has been widely recognized as a systematic approach that enables organizations to create, organize, share, and utilize knowledge to achieve their strategic objectives. It plays a central role in enhancing organizational learning and improving the efficiency of institutional processes [13]. Contemporary perspectives emphasize that KM is not limited to technological systems, but also involves human capabilities, organizational culture, and structured processes that facilitate knowledge flow within institutions [14]. In this regard, KM contributes to strengthening decision-making by ensuring that relevant and accurate information is accessible across different organizational levels [15].

Institutional performance is closely linked to the effective utilization of knowledge resources, as organizations strive to achieve efficiency, quality, and sustainability in their operations. It is commonly assessed through indicators such as productivity, service quality, innovation, and stakeholder satisfaction [16]. The literature indicates that organizations capable of aligning their knowledge assets with strategic goals are more likely to achieve superior performance outcomes [17]. Furthermore, KM supports continuous improvement by enabling organizations to adapt to environmental changes and enhance their operational capabilities [18].

Within organizational structures, communication centers represent a key domain where KM can be effectively applied. These centers are responsible for managing the flow of information and ensuring effective interaction between the organization and its stakeholders. The integration of KM practices into communication processes enhances the ability to capture, store, and disseminate knowledge in a structured and efficient manner [19]. Studies have shown that organizations adopting KM within their communication functions experience improvements in coordination, transparency, and stakeholder engagement [20].

The emergence of digital transformation has further expanded the scope and effectiveness of KM practices. Digital platforms and social media tools have enabled faster and more interactive knowledge exchange, facilitating collaboration and collective learning within organizations [21]. These technologies enhance the accessibility of knowledge and support innovation by enabling real-time communication and the sharing of ideas across different organizational units [22]. In addition, social media environments contribute to informal knowledge sharing, which plays an important role in fostering creativity and continuous learning [23].

Empirical studies across various sectors consistently highlight the positive relationship between KM implementation and improved institutional performance. Organizations that adopt structured KM strategies tend to achieve higher levels of efficiency, innovation, and service quality [24]. However, despite the growing body of research, there remains a limited focus on the application of KM within institutional communication centers, particularly in higher education settings. This gap indicates the need for further research to explore how KM can be effectively integrated into communication functions to enhance institutional performance and support organizational objectives. To provide a

structured synthesis of the reviewed studies, [Table 1](#) summarizes the key themes, contexts, and findings identified in the literature.

Table 1. Summary of Previous Studies

Ref.	Focus Area	Context	Key Findings
[13]	Knowledge Management Concepts	General organizations	KM enhances organizational learning and efficiency
[14]	KM Processes	Organizational systems	KM integrates human and technological dimensions
[15]	Decision Support	Management context	KM improves decision-making quality
[16]	Institutional Performance	Organizations	Performance linked to productivity and quality indicators
[17]	Strategy & Performance	Organizational strategy	Alignment of KM with strategy improves outcomes
[18]	Organizational Adaptation	Dynamic environments	KM supports flexibility and continuous improvement
[19]	KM in Communication	Communication centers	KM improves knowledge flow and information management
[20]	Stakeholder Engagement	Organizational communication	KM enhances coordination and engagement
[21]	Digital KM	Social media platforms	Digital tools enable knowledge sharing
[22]	Innovation	Digital environments	KM supports innovation and collaboration
[23]	Informal Knowledge	Social media	Enhances learning and creativity
[24]	KM & Performance	Multi-sector studies	KM positively impacts efficiency and service quality

3. Methodology

This study adopts an inductive analytical approach to examine the role of knowledge management (KM) in improving institutional performance, particularly within institutional communication centers. The approach is based on a structured review and analysis of relevant scientific literature, aiming to identify key themes, patterns, and relationships between KM practices and performance outcomes.

The data collection process relied on secondary sources, including peer-reviewed journal articles, conference papers, and academic publications related to knowledge management, institutional performance, and organizational communication. The selection of literature was guided by specific inclusion criteria to ensure relevance, quality, and alignment with the objectives of the study. These criteria included the focus on KM applications, relevance to institutional or organizational performance, and connection to communication or digital environments. To clarify the selection process, [Table 2](#) presents the main criteria used for including studies in the review.

Table 2. Inclusion Criteria for Literature Selection

Criterion	Description	Justification
Relevance	Studies addressing KM and institutional performance	Ensures alignment with research objectives
Context	Focus on organizations, universities, or communication environments	Enhances applicability to the study case
Publication Type	Peer-reviewed journals and conference papers	ضمان الجودة العلمية (ensures academic rigor)
Time Frame	Recent and foundational studies	Balances current trends with theoretical grounding

The scope of the study is limited to the Institutional Communication Center at King Abdulaziz University, located in Jeddah, during the academic year 1447 AH / 2025 AD. This context is used as a reference framework to interpret the findings of the literature and to explore how KM practices can be applied in a real institutional communication environment.

For data analysis, the study employs thematic analysis to categorize and interpret the selected literature. This method allows for identifying recurring concepts, relationships, and trends across studies, particularly those related to KM processes, institutional performance indicators, and digital transformation factors. The analysis focuses on linking KM practices with their corresponding impacts on performance and communication effectiveness. To structure the analytical process, [Table 3](#) presents the main themes and indicators used in the analysis.

Table 3. Analytical Framework

Theme	Indicators	Description
Knowledge Management Practices	Creation, sharing, storage, application	Core KM processes within organizations
Institutional Performance	Efficiency, quality, innovation	Key performance dimensions
Communication Effectiveness	Information flow, stakeholder engagement	Role of communication centers
Digital Transformation	Social media, digital platforms	Enablers of knowledge sharing

This methodological framework enables a comprehensive understanding of how knowledge management contributes to improving institutional performance within communication environments, while ensuring a systematic and rigorous analysis aligned with the study objectives.

4. Results and Discussion

The analysis of the reviewed literature demonstrates that knowledge management (KM) has a multidimensional impact on institutional performance, particularly in organizational environments that rely on information flow and communication processes. The findings indicate that KM is not only associated with operational improvements, but also contributes to strategic development by enhancing the organization's ability to utilize its intellectual resources effectively. Institutions that adopt structured KM practices are better positioned to achieve higher levels of efficiency, adaptability, and innovation.

One of the most significant findings relates to the role of KM in improving institutional performance through the optimization of internal processes. KM practices such as knowledge creation, sharing, storage, and application contribute to reducing redundancy, minimizing errors, and accelerating task completion. This leads to improved productivity and more efficient use of organizational resources. In addition, KM supports evidence-based decision-making by ensuring that accurate and up-to-date information is available to decision-makers at all levels. This reduces uncertainty and enhances the quality of strategic and operational decisions. To provide a structured overview of how KM practices influence institutional performance, [Table 4](#) presents the main KM activities and their corresponding outcomes.

Table 4. KM Practices and Institutional Performance Outcomes

KM Practice	Performance Impact	Description
Knowledge Creation	Innovation	Enables development of new ideas and solutions
Knowledge Sharing	Efficiency	Improves coordination and reduces duplication
Knowledge Storage	Decision Support	Ensures accessibility of institutional knowledge
Knowledge Application	Service Quality	Enhances effectiveness and consistency of services

The findings further reveal that KM plays a crucial role in strengthening institutional communication, particularly within communication centers that act as the interface between the organization and its stakeholders. The integration of KM into communication processes enables these centers to manage information more effectively, ensuring that messages are accurate, consistent, and aligned with institutional objectives. This contributes to improving both internal

communication, by facilitating coordination among departments, and external communication, by enhancing transparency and responsiveness to stakeholders.

Moreover, KM enhances the strategic role of communication centers by transforming them from operational units into knowledge hubs that support organizational learning and information exchange. Through the systematic organization and dissemination of knowledge, communication centers can contribute to building a shared understanding among employees and stakeholders, which strengthens institutional identity and coherence. This is particularly important in university environments, where communication centers play a key role in representing the institution to diverse audiences.

Another important finding is the significant impact of digital transformation on KM practices. The use of digital platforms, including social media, collaborative tools, and data management systems, has expanded the scope and effectiveness of KM by enabling faster and more interactive knowledge exchange. Digital technologies facilitate real-time communication, allowing organizations to share information instantly and engage with stakeholders more dynamically. This enhances the responsiveness of communication centers and supports more agile decision-making processes.

In addition, digital platforms contribute to the development of informal knowledge-sharing environments, where employees can exchange ideas, experiences, and insights outside formal organizational structures. This type of knowledge exchange promotes creativity and continuous learning, which are essential for innovation. To illustrate the role of digital technologies in supporting KM functions, [Table 5](#) summarizes key tools and their contributions.

Table 5. Digital Tools Supporting Knowledge Management

Digital Tool	KM Function	Impact
Social Media Platforms	Knowledge Sharing	Enhances interaction and stakeholder engagement
Digital Repositories	Knowledge Storage	Provides centralized and organized information access
Collaboration Tools	Knowledge Creation	Facilitates teamwork and idea generation
Data Analytics Systems	Knowledge Application	Supports data-driven decision-making

Despite the positive contributions of KM, the analysis identifies several challenges that may hinder its effective implementation within organizations. One of the primary challenges is organizational resistance to change, as employees may be reluctant to adopt new knowledge-sharing practices or technologies. This resistance can limit the effectiveness of KM initiatives and reduce their impact on performance. Additionally, inadequate technological infrastructure can restrict the ability of organizations to implement advanced KM systems, particularly in environments with limited resources.

Another challenge relates to the lack of sufficient training and skill development among employees. Effective KM requires not only technological tools but also human capabilities that enable individuals to create, share, and apply knowledge effectively. Without proper training, employees may not fully utilize KM systems, مما يؤدي إلى ضعف الاستفادة من الموارد المعرفية داخل المؤسسة. Furthermore, the absence of clear strategic alignment between KM initiatives and organizational objectives can result in fragmented efforts that do not produce meaningful performance improvements. To provide a clear overview of these challenges, [Table 6](#) outlines the main barriers to KM implementation.

Table 6. Challenges in Knowledge Management Implementation

Challenge	Description	Impact
Organizational Resistance	Resistance to adopting new practices	Limits KM effectiveness
Technological Limitations	Insufficient digital infrastructure	Reduces system efficiency
Skills Gap	Lack of training and expertise	Weakens knowledge utilization
Strategic Misalignment	Absence of clear KM strategy	Affects integration and outcomes

In addition to these challenges, the findings highlight several opportunities for enhancing KM within institutional communication environments. The integration of advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence and data analytics can significantly improve the ability of organizations to process and utilize knowledge. These technologies enable more accurate analysis of information, support predictive decision-making, and enhance the overall efficiency of KM systems.

Furthermore, investing in human capital is essential for ensuring the success of KM initiatives. Continuous training and professional development programs can enhance employees' ability to engage in knowledge-sharing activities and utilize KM tools effectively. Organizations should also focus on fostering a knowledge-sharing culture that encourages collaboration, openness, and continuous learning.

Another important opportunity lies in the development of integrated KM strategies that align with organizational goals and communication functions. By embedding KM into institutional processes and communication systems, organizations can ensure that knowledge is effectively utilized to support performance improvement and innovation. Communication centers, in particular, can play a central role in this process by acting as facilitators of knowledge exchange and coordination across the organization.

Overall, the results and discussion confirm that knowledge management is a key factor in improving institutional performance, especially when supported by effective communication systems and digital technologies. The integration of KM within institutional communication centers enhances their ability to manage information strategically, engage stakeholders, and contribute to organizational success. These findings emphasize the importance of adopting a comprehensive approach to KM that combines technological, organizational, and human dimensions to achieve sustainable performance improvements.

5. Conclusion

This study examined the role of knowledge management in improving institutional performance, with a specific focus on its application within institutional communication centers. The findings confirm that knowledge management represents a fundamental approach for enhancing organizational efficiency, supporting decision-making processes, and improving the quality of services provided to beneficiaries. By enabling the systematic creation, sharing, storage, and application of knowledge, organizations can better utilize their intellectual resources and achieve their strategic objectives.

The results also highlight the importance of integrating knowledge management into institutional communication environments. Communication centers that adopt knowledge management practices are more capable of managing information flows, ensuring consistency in messaging, and strengthening engagement with stakeholders. This integration enhances the strategic role of communication centers as key channels that connect the organization with its internal and external audiences, thereby contributing to the overall effectiveness of institutional performance.

In addition, the study emphasizes the significant impact of digital transformation on knowledge management practices. Digital platforms and social media tools have become essential enablers of knowledge sharing, collaboration, and innovation. These technologies support real-time communication and facilitate the exchange of ideas, which enhances organizational responsiveness and adaptability. As a result, institutions that effectively leverage digital tools within their knowledge management systems are more likely to achieve sustainable performance improvements.

Despite these positive outcomes, the study identifies several challenges that may hinder the effective implementation of knowledge management, including organizational resistance, limited technological infrastructure, and gaps in employee skills. Addressing these challenges requires the development of clear strategies that align knowledge management initiatives with organizational goals, as well as continuous investment in human capital and technological capabilities.

Based on these findings, the study recommends that institutions adopt a comprehensive approach to knowledge management that integrates technological, organizational, and human dimensions. This includes developing structured knowledge management strategies, enhancing training programs to improve employee competencies, and utilizing

digital technologies to support knowledge-sharing processes. Furthermore, communication centers should be empowered to act as knowledge hubs that facilitate information exchange and support institutional learning.

In conclusion, knowledge management plays a crucial role in improving institutional performance, particularly when effectively integrated within communication functions and supported by digital transformation. Future research is encouraged to explore empirical applications of knowledge management in communication centers and to examine its impact across different organizational contexts to further enrich the understanding of its role in achieving institutional excellence.

6. Declarations

6.1. Author Contributions

Conceptualization: M.A.A. and I.M.M.E.E.; Methodology: M.A.A. and I.M.M.E.E.; Software: M.A.A.; Validation: M.A.A. and I.M.M.E.E.; Formal Analysis: M.A.A. and I.M.M.E.E.; Investigation: M.A.A.; Resources: I.M.M.E.E.; Data Curation: I.M.M.E.E.; Writing Original Draft Preparation: M.A.A. and I.M.M.E.E.; Writing Review and Editing: I.M.M.E.E. and M.A.A.; Visualization: M.A.A.; All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

6.2. Data Availability Statement

The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

6.3. Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

6.4. Institutional Review Board Statement

Not applicable.

6.5. Informed Consent Statement

Not applicable.

6.6. Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- [1] M. Suparwadi, M. A. Musadieg, F. Riza, and B. Hutahayan, "Leveraging intellectual capital and knowledge management to drive innovation and organizational performance," *Int. J. Eng. Bus. Manag.*, vol. 16, no. Jan., pp. 1–12, 2024, doi: 10.1177/18479790241304563.
- [2] M. I. Satpathy, D. Mohapatra, B. C. M. Patnaik, S. Das, and M. Das, "Value creation through knowledge sharing and innovation in IT industry," *Int. J. Innov. Technol. Explor. Eng.*, vol. 2020, no. Jan., pp. 1–10, 2020, doi: 10.35940/ijitee.c7997.019320.
- [3] T. Alabdullah, "Addressing knowledge management issues at University of Houston: overcoming obstacles to improve organizational performance," *Marginal J. Manag. Account. Gen. Finance Int. Econ. Issues*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 1–12, 2023, doi: 10.55047/marginal.v3i1.835.
- [4] S. A. Umezurike, O. V. Akinrinoye, O. T. Kufile, A. Y. Onifade, B. O. Otokiti, and O. G. Ejike, "Review of knowledge management integration into strategic corporate decision-making processes," *Int. J. Sci. Res. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 2025, no. Jan., pp. 1–12, 2025, doi: 10.32628/ijrsrst25123231.
- [5] M. Suparwadi, M. A. Musadieg, F. Riza, and B. Hutahayan, "Leveraging intellectual capital and knowledge management to drive innovation and organizational performance," *Int. J. Eng. Bus. Manag.*, vol. 16, no. Jan., pp. 1–12, 2024, doi: 10.1177/18479790241304563.

- [6] “The impact of knowledge management on organizational performance excellence in the private Jordanian universities of the northern region,” *Eur. J. Bus. Manag.*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 1–12, 2024, doi: 10.7176/ejbm/16-3-11.
- [7] S. Lamamra, N. E. Setifi, and F. Mahboub, “The role of internal communication in activating knowledge management processes: a study of the opinions of a sample of professors at Algerian universities,” *Mod. Manag. Rev.*, vol. 2025, no. Jan., pp. 1–12, 2025, doi: 10.7862/rz.2025.mmr.05.
- [8] M. Tajpour, E. Hosseini, and M. Mohiuddin, “Effects of innovative climate, knowledge sharing, and communication on sustainability of digital start-ups: does social media matter?” *J. Open Innov. Technol. Mark. Complex.*, vol. 2023, no. Jan., pp. 1–12, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.joitmc.2023.100053.
- [9] J. Kwamboka, “Role of social media in stakeholder engagement in universities in Kenya,” *Int. J. Soc. Sci. Humanit. Res.*, vol. 2024, no. Jan., pp. 1–10, 2024, doi: 10.61108/ijsshr.v2i1.86.
- [10] J. Bose, M. Joseph, S. A. Kurian, D. Ajayaghosh, and S. James, “From tweets to trends: bibliometric insights on social media and knowledge management,” *Electron. J. Knowl. Manag.*, vol. 2025, no. Jan., pp. 1–12, 2025, doi: 10.34190/ejkm.23.1.3835.
- [11] A. Bitonti, “Tools of digital innovation in public affairs management: a practice-oriented analysis,” *J. Public Aff.*, vol. 2023, no. Jan., pp. 1–12, 2023, doi: 10.1002/pa.2888.
- [12] M. Sinclair, “Using social media as a research tool for a bespoke web-based platform for stakeholders of children with congenital anomalies: development study,” *JMIR Pediatr. Parent.*, vol. 4, no. Jan., pp. 1–12, 2021, doi: 10.2196/18483.
- [13] J. B. Yap, B. Lim, and M. Skitmore, “Capitalising knowledge management (KM) for improving project delivery in construction,” *Ain Shams Eng. J.*, vol. 2022, no. Jan., pp. 1–12, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.asej.2022.101790.
- [14] I. A. Abu-ALSondos, “An empirical study of critical success factors in implementing knowledge management systems (KMS): the moderating role of culture,” *Uncertain Supply Chain Manag.*, vol. 2023, no. Jan., pp. 1–12, 2023, doi: 10.5267/j.uscm.2023.7.016.
- [15] G. Kai, Syaifuddin, and Y. Ma, “Knowledge management (KM) and artificial intelligence (AI): future trends towards an integration between KM and AI,” *Glob. Soc. Sci. Humanit. J.*, vol. 2022, no. Jan., pp. 1–12, 2022, doi: 10.59088/gi.v1i1.1.
- [16] W. Rehman, S. Degirmen, F. Jalil, M. Haris, and T. Nayyab, “Does critical success factors of KM mediate the KS-driven performance in the software sector of Pakistan?” *J. Manag. Res.*, vol. 2021, no. Jan., pp. 1–12, 2021, doi: 10.29145/jmr/81/080106.
- [17] O. Ogunkoya, A. Adebayo, and O. Soremekun, “Effect of knowledge management (KM) on organization performance in Nigerian manufacturing sector,” *Mod. Manag. Rev.*, vol. 2023, no. Jan., pp. 1–12, 2023, doi: 10.7862/rz.2023.mmr.17.
- [18] S. I. Ceptureanu and E. G. Ceptureanu, “The influence of organisational learning ambidexterity on process innovation performance: the role of KM capability,” *Knowl. Manag. Res. Pract.*, vol. 2024, no. Jan., pp. 1–12, 2024, doi: 10.1080/14778238.2024.2380734.
- [19] M. Onundi, M. Poshdar, and F. Rotimi, “Exploring the effect of communication on sustainable knowledge management,” *CIB J.*, vol. 2025, no. Jan., pp. 1–12, 2025, doi: 10.7771/3067-4883.1955.
- [20] M. Mojidra, J. R. Pitroda, J. P. Shah, and J. Gohil, “The impact of stakeholder communication and coordination on project outcome,” *Int. J. Sci. Res. Eng. Manag.*, vol. 2024, no. Jan., pp. 1–12, 2024, doi: 10.55041/ijrem39215.
- [21] M. Shamout, M. Elayan, S. Hamouche, and Z. Chabani, “The role of collaboration technology and knowledge sharing climate on employee productivity and innovative behavior,” *Knowl. Process Manag.*, vol. 32, no. Jan., pp. 121–132, 2025, doi: 10.1002/kpm.1801.
- [22] S. Mkhize and M. Lourens, “Elevating knowledge sharing and communication through artificial intelligence: an organizational perspective,” *Int. J. Res. Bus. Soc. Sci.*, vol. 2025, no. Jan., pp. 1–12, 2025, doi: 10.20525/ijrbs.v14i4.3967.
- [23] K. Al-Busaidi and I. Al-Wahaibi, “Sharing knowledge through social media platforms: critical system characteristics for knowledge workers,” *J. Syst. Inf. Technol.*, vol. 2025, no. Jan., pp. 1–12, 2025, doi: 10.1108/jsit-02-2025-0078.
- [24] M. Berardi, S. Cifolelli, F. Fontana, and A. Ziruolo, “The contribution of knowledge management to the co-creation of sustainable public value,” *Eur. J. Knowl. Manag.*, vol. 2025, no. Jan., pp. 1–12, 2025, doi: 10.34190/eckm.26.1.3808.